Healthcare without Bounds: Trends in Digital Patient Engagement 2017		
TITLE:	Healthcare without Bounds: Trends in Digital Patient Engagement 2017	
AUTHOR:	Spyglass Consulting Group Gregg Malkary, Managing Director gmalkary@spyglass-consulting.com www.spyglass-consulting.com	
LENGTH:	75 Pages 38 Figures	
TYPE:	Study - Customer Needs and Strategies	
PUBLISHED:	June 2017	
PRICE	\$2,495.00 (US) Enterprise pricing available upon request	
STUDY OVERVIEW:	Digital patient engagement tools are poised to transform the way patients and family members are engaged, educated, and empowered to take a more active role in managing their health across the care continuum within hospitals, ambulatory environments, clinics, physician offices, and even at home.	
	According to the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, a growing body of evidence suggests that patients who are more engaged in their healthcare have better health outcomes, experience fewer complications, and lower costs compared to patients who are less involved. ¹	
	Hospital investments in digital engagement tools are being driven by several provisions with the Affordable Care Act including the Meaningful Use Program, various value-based care programs, Hospital Readmission Reduction Program, and HCAHPS Patient Satisfaction Survey.	
	With the transition toward patient and family centered care models and the adoption of various at-risk reimbursement models, hospitals surveyed are evaluating next generation digital engagement tools to help achieve the Triple AIM by helping reduce healthcare costs, improve care quality and outcomes, and increase patient and provider satisfaction.	
	Hospitals are making significant digital engagement tools investments. Eighty-nine percent of hospitals surveyed have invested and 90 percent are planning incremental investments in digital engagement tools including EHR-based patient portals and TV-based interactive patient systems.	
	Hospitals are targeting chronically ill patients. Eighty-nine percent of hospitals surveyed report that future investments focus on integrating digital engagement tools with evidence-based pathways and care management programs to support chronically ill patients with CHF, COPD, diabetes, asthma, cancer and stroke.	
	Hospitals are exploring collaboration opportunities with payers. Forty-nine percent of hospitals surveyed were exploring collaboration opportunities with CMS and other 3rd party payers to subsidize patient engagement initiatives associated with value-based care programs.	
	Hospitals are facing challenges to support widespread deployments including low end-user adoption, poorly defined requirements, and lack of evidence demonstrating clinical efficacy.	

STUDY METHODOLOGY

Trends in Digital Patient Engagement presents the findings of an end-user market study focused on the digital engagement tool usage and adoption by hospital-based patients and clinical staff across the United States.

Content for **Trends in Digital Patient Engagement** was derived from more than 100 in-depth interviews with healthcare professionals working in hospital-based environments who are technically competent and representative of a broad range of medical specialties, organization types, and organization sizes.

The telephone interviews were conducted over a three-month period starting in January 2017. During the interviews, Spyglass identified:

- clinical process gaps for engaging patients and family members within the care process,
- current digital engagement tool usage models,
- barriers for widespread digital engagement tool adoption during hospitalization, and
- challenges for integrating digital engagement tools with existing evidence-based pathways and care management programs.

Spyglass also evaluated key vendor product offerings and identified early adopter organizations that have successfully deployed these solutions.

TARGET AUDIENCE

- Software and hardware vendors, systems integrators and management consulting groups who are selling hardware, applications and services into the healthcare industry
- Healthcare administrators and IT executives who are making strategic decisions to fund clinical information technology solutions
- Clinicians who are involved in informatics and clinical system evaluation and selection
- Investment banking and private equity investors

ABOUT SPYGLASS
CONSULTING
GROUP



Spyglass Consulting Group is a market intelligence firm and consultancy focused on the nexus of information technology and healthcare. Spyglass offers products and services in customer and market intelligence, strategic partnership development, product marketing and investment due diligence. Spyglass' current research is entitled Healthcare without Bounds that focuses on the current and future potential of mobile computing and wireless technologies within the healthcare industry.

Spyglass customers include more than 140 leading high technology vendors, management consulting organizations

and healthcare providers including Cisco, IBM, Microsoft, Intel, Hewlett Packard, Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, Siemens, GE Healthcare, Philips Medical, Sprint, and Kaiser Permanente.

Gregg Malkary is the founder and Managing Director of Spyglass Consulting Group. He has more than 20 years' experience in the high technology industry working with Fortune 2000 companies to help them use information technology for competitive advantage. Malkary has domain expertise in mobile computing, wireless and broadband technologies with direct experience in the healthcare, hospitality, manufacturing, communications and entertainment markets.

Prior to founding **Spyglass Consulting Group** in August 2002, Malkary was an Associate Partner at **Outlook Ventures**, a venture capital firm focused on early stage investments in enterprise software and communications companies. Previously, Malkary was the Director of Strategic Planning for **Exodus Communications** where he was responsible for identifying, evaluating and executing growth initiatives for Exodus in the managed web-hosting marketplace. Malkary has also held consulting and senior management roles in business development, strategic planning and product marketing for public and private technology companies including **IBM**, **Hewlett Packard**, **Accenture**, **Silicon Graphics** and **Skytel Communications**.

Malkary frequently speaks at regional and national conferences focused on mobile computing, wireless technologies and healthcare related issues. Numerous industry publications have written about and quoted Malkary including the *Wall Street Journal, CIO, Business 2.0, MIT Technology Review, Network World* and *eWeek*.

Malkary is an honors graduate of **Brown University** having earned a MS and BA in Computer Science. He was awarded the prestigious North American Philips Corporation Fellowship for his graduate research work in graphical simulation environments.

For additional information about this study, please contact Gregg Malkary at gmalkary@spyglass-consulting.com.

¹ Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, Health Policy Brief, Feb 14, 2013

Trends in Digital Patient Engagement June 2017

Spyglass Consulting Group Gregg Malkary, Managing Director Menlo Park, CA

IN THIS STUDY	6
METHODOLOGY	6
Target Audience	6
Introduction	7
Executive Summary	8
DEMOGRAPHICS	10
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	15
MARKET SURVEY RESULTS	17
INVESTMENT	
Strategy	
Have hospitals defined a patient engagement vision and strategy?	
Investments	
Are hospitals investing in digital patient engagement tools?	19
Vendors: Which digital engagement tools are hospitals deploying?	
What are hospital's digital engagement investment priorities over next 18 months?	26
Return on Investment	30
How do digital engagement tools impact patient care quality, costs, and satisfaction?	30
Clinical Effectiveness	32
Have digital engagement tools been demonstrated to be clinically effective?	
Payers collaboration	35
Are hospitals and payers collaborating to subsidize patient engagement initiatives?	35
PATIENT ENGAGEMENT	37
Patient Adoption	37
Are hospital patients adopting digital engagement tools?	37
Which patient populations are showing higher adoption rates?	39
Patient Education	40
Are hospitals leveraging streaming media to support patient education?	40
Multi-Lingual Support	43
Do digital engagement tools provide adequate multi-lingual support?	43
End User Requirements	45
How are hospitals collecting end user requirements for digital engagement tools?	45
STAFF ENGAGEMENT	47
Staff Training and Adoption	47



© June 2017. **Spyglass Consulting Group.** All Rights Reserved. This publication is protected by United States copyright laws and international treaties. It may not be reproduced, stored in another retrieval system, posted on a Web site, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission. Spyglass disclaims all warranties as to the accuracy, completeness or adequacy of such information. Spyglass shall have no liability for errors, omissions or inadequacies in the information contained herein or for interpretations thereof. For questions, contact Gregg Malkary at <a href="mailto:ma

Are clinical staff receiving adequate training to support digital engagement tools?	47
How are clinical staff integrating digital engagement tools within the care process?	49
Clinical Workflow Adaption	51
How are hospitals adapting roles & responsibilities to support patient engagement?	51
Analytics and Reporting	54
How are hospitals using analytical tools to measure and track patient engagement?	54
Population Health Management	57
Have hospitals developed a vision and strategy to support population health?	57
Are hospitals leveraging common digital engagement tools to support population health?	58
MOBILE DEVICES AND APPLICATIONS	59
Hospital-Owned Devices	59
Are hospitals investing in mobile patient engagement solutions?	59
What are hospital IT's device requirements for mobile patient engagement?	63
Patient BYOD	64
Are hospitals ready for patient BYOD to support patient engagement?	64
INFRASTRUCTURE	66
Network	66
What is the perceived quality of the hospital's guest wireless network?	66
Technical Support	68
Does hospital IT provide visitors with technical support to connect with guest network?	68
CONCLUSIONS	70
ABOUT SPYGLASS CONSULTING GROUP	71
APPENDIX A: LIST OF FIGURES	72
APPENDIX B: VENDORS COVERED IN REPORT	
ENDNOTES	75

